



## Some subclasses of $q$ -spirallike meromorphic functions

Read SA Qahtan<sup>1</sup>, Hamid Shamsan<sup>2</sup>, S Latha<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1-3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Yuvaraja’s College, University of Mysore, Mysore, Karnataka, India

**Abstract**

In this paper, we introduce and investigate two new subclasses  $\Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta, q)$  and  $\Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta, q)$  of  $q$ -spirallike meromorphic functions defined in the punctured open unit disc.

**Keywords:** univalent functions, meromorphic functions, meromorphic  $q$ -spirallike

**1. Introduction**

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of functions  $f$  of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \tag{1}$$

Which are analytic in the open disc  $\mathcal{U} = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the subclass of function in  $\Sigma$  which are univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ . A functions  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to be meromorphic starlike of order  $\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ) if it satisfies

$$-\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta (z \in \mathcal{U}, 0 \leq \beta < 1), \tag{2}$$

And we denote this class by  $\Sigma\mathcal{S}(\beta)$ .

If  $f \in \Sigma$  satisfies

$$-\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \beta (z \in \mathcal{U}, 0 \leq \beta < 1), \tag{3}$$

We say that  $f$  is meromorphic convex of order  $\beta$  and we denote this class by  $\Sigma\mathcal{C}(\beta)$ . In [7] Jackson introduced and studied the concept of the  $q$ -derivative operator  $\partial_q$  as follows

$$\partial_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z(1-q)}, (z \neq 0, 0 < q < 1, \partial_q f(0) = f'(0)). \tag{4}$$

Equivalently (4) may be written as

$$\partial_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}, z \neq 0, \tag{5}$$

Where  $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ , note that as  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $[n]_q \rightarrow n$ .

**Definition 1.1** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to be meromorphic  $q$ -spirallike of order  $\beta$  if it satisfies

$$-\Re \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta (z \in \mathcal{U}, 0 \leq \beta < 1), \tag{6}$$

We denote this class by  $\Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta, q)$ .

**Definition 1.2** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to be meromorphic convex  $q$ -spirallike of order  $\beta$  if it satisfies

$$-\Re \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \frac{\partial_q (z \partial_q f(z))}{\partial_q f(z)} \right\} > \beta (z \in \mathcal{U}, 0 \leq \beta < 1), \tag{7}$$

We denote this class by  $\Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta, q)$ .

Let  $\Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, A, B, q)$  and  $\Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, A, B, q)$ ,  $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$  be the subclasses of functions in  $\Sigma$  satisfying

$$-\left\{ e^{i\lambda} \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right\} < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in \mathcal{U}), \tag{8}$$

$$-\left\{ e^{i\lambda} \frac{\partial_q(z \partial_q f(z))}{\partial_q f(z)} \right\} < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{9}$$

**2. Main results**

**Definition 2.1** Let  $H(z) = \frac{(z \partial_q f(z))}{f(z)}$  for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ . A function  $f(z)$  is said to be in the class  $\Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta, q)$  if it satisfies

$$\left| \frac{1}{e^{i\lambda} H(z)} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \right| < \frac{1}{2\beta} (z \in \mathcal{U}), \tag{10}$$

For some real number  $0 < \beta < 1, 0 < q < 1$  and  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

**Theorem 2.1**  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta, q)$  if and only if,  $\Re \left( e^{i\lambda} \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right) < -\beta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H(z) = \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)}$  for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta, q)$ , we can write

$$\left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)}{2\beta e^{i\lambda} H(z)} \right| < \frac{1}{2\beta}.$$

Then, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)}{2\beta e^{i\lambda} H(z)} \right| < \frac{1}{2\beta} &\Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)}{2\beta e^{i\lambda} H(z)} \right|^2 < \left( \frac{1}{2\beta} \right)^2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow (2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)) \overline{[2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)]} < [e^{i\lambda} H(z)] e^{i\lambda} \overline{H(z)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)) \overline{[2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)]} < [e^{-i\lambda} \overline{H(z)}] e^{i\lambda} H(z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow 4\beta^2 + 2\beta e^{-i\lambda} \overline{H(z)} + 2\beta e^{-i\lambda} H(z) + H(z) \overline{H(z)} < H(z) \overline{H(z)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow 4\beta^2 + 2\beta (e^{-i\lambda} \overline{H(z)} + e^{i\lambda} H(z)) < 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2\beta + 2\Re(e^{i\lambda} H(z)) < 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \Re(e^{i\lambda} H(z)) < -\beta \\ &\Leftrightarrow \Re \left( e^{i\lambda} \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right) < -\beta. \end{aligned}$$

As  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get the following result proved by Alamoush <sup>[1]</sup>.

**Corollary 2.1**  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta)$  if and only if,  $\Re \left( e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf(z)}{f(z)} \right) < -\beta$ .

**Theorem 2.2** If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ [n]_q + |[n]_q + 2\beta e^{-i\lambda} |a_n| \} \leq 1 - | -1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda} |, \tag{11}$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < q < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ , then  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta, q)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)}{e^{i\lambda} H(z)} \right| < 1,$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < q < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ , where  $H(z) = \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H(z)}{e^{i\lambda} H(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{2\beta f(z) + e^{i\lambda} z \partial_q f(z)}{e^{i\lambda} z \partial_q f(z)} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{2\beta e^{-i\lambda} f(z) + z \partial_q f(z)}{z \partial_q f(z)} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{(-1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda}) + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n]_q - 2\beta e^{-i\lambda}) |a_n| z^{n+1}}{-1 + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n+1}} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{|-1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda}| + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n]_q - 2\beta e^{-i\lambda}) |a_n| |z|^{n+1}}{1 - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |a_n| |z|^{n+1}} \\
 &< \frac{|-1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda}| + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n]_q - 2\beta e^{-i\lambda}) |a_n|}{1 - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |a_n|} < 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Therefore, if

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ [n]_q + |[n]_q + 2\beta e^{-i\lambda}| |a_n| \} \leq 1 - |-1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda}|,$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < q < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ , then

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |[n]_q - 2\beta e^{-i\lambda}| |a_n| \leq 1 - |-1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda}| - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |a_n|.$$

Using this inequality in (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{2\beta - e^{i\lambda} H(z)}{e^{i\lambda} H(z)} \right| &< \frac{|-1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda}| + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |[n]_q - 2\beta e^{-i\lambda}| |a_n|}{1 - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |a_n|} \\
 &\leq \frac{|-1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda}| + 1 - |-1 + 2q\beta e^{-i\lambda}| - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |a_n|}{1 - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |a_n|} \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta, q)$ , for some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < q < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ .

As  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get the following result proved by Alamoush <sup>[1]</sup>.

**Corollary 2.2** If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ n + |n + 2\beta e^{-i\lambda}| |a_n| \} \leq 1 - |-1 + 2\beta e^{-i\lambda}|, \tag{13}$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ , then  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \beta)$ .

Taking  $\lambda = \frac{\pi}{4}$  in Theorem 2.2, we get the following Theorem

**Theorem 2.3** If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ [n]_q + |[n]_q + 2\beta| |a_n| \} \leq 1 - |-1 + 2q\beta|, \tag{14}$$

For some  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$  and  $0 < q < 1$ , then  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{S}(0, \beta, q)$ .

**Definition 2.2** Let  $H_q(z) = \left\{ 1 + \frac{qz \partial_q^2 f(z)}{\partial_q f(z)} \right\}$  for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ . A function  $f(z)$  is said to be in the class  $\Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta, q)$  if it satisfies

$$\left| \frac{1}{e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \right| < \frac{1}{2\beta} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \tag{15}$$

For some real number  $0 < \beta < 1, 0 < q < 1$  and  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

**Theorem 2.4**  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta, q)$  if and only if,  $\Re \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{qz \partial_q^2 f(z)}{\partial_q f(z)} \right) \right\} < -\beta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H_q(z) = \left\{ 1 + \frac{qz \partial_q^2 f(z)}{\partial_q f(z)} \right\}$  for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta, q)$ , we can write

$$\left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)}{2\beta e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)} \right| < \frac{1}{2\beta}.$$

Then, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)}{2\beta e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)} \right| < \frac{1}{2\beta} \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)}{2\beta e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)} \right|^2 < \left( \frac{1}{2\beta} \right)^2 \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{2\beta - e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)}{2\beta e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)} \right|^2 < \left( \frac{1}{2\beta} \right)^2 \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)) \overline{[2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)]} < \overline{[e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)]} e^{i\lambda} H_q(z) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)) \overline{[2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)]} < \overline{[e^{-i\lambda} H_q(z)]} e^{i\lambda} H_q(z) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow 4\beta^2 + 2\beta e^{-i\lambda} \overline{H_q(z)} + 2\beta e^{-i\lambda} H_q(z) + H_q(z) \overline{H_q(z)} < H_q(z) \overline{H_q(z)} \\
 & \Leftrightarrow 4\beta^2 + 2\beta (e^{-i\lambda} \overline{H_q(z)} + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)) < 0 \\
 & \Leftrightarrow 2\beta + 2\Re(e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)) < 0 \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \Re(e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)) < -\beta \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \Re \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{qz \partial_q^2 f(z)}{\partial_q f(z)} \right) \right\} < -\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

As  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get the following result proved by Alamoush <sup>[1]</sup>.

**Corollary 2.3**  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta)$  if and only if,  $\Re \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} < -\beta$ .

**Theorem 2.5** If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q \left( (1 + q[n-1]_q) + |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1 + q[n-1]_q| |a_n| \right) \leq -(1 + |q|2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1), \tag{16}$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < q < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta, q)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)}{e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)} \right| < 1,$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < q < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ , where  $H_q(z) = \left\{ 1 + \frac{qz \partial_q^2 f(z)}{\partial_q f(z)} \right\}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{2\beta - e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)}{e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{2\beta e^{-i\lambda} \partial_q f(z) + qz \partial_q^2 f(z)}{\partial_q f(z) + qz \partial_q^2 f(z)} \right| \\
 & = \left| \frac{(2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1) \partial_q f(z) + qz \partial_q^2 f(z)}{\partial_q f(z) + qz \partial_q^2 f(z)} \right| \\
 & = \left| \frac{(2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1) \left( \frac{-1}{qz^2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1} \right) + \frac{[2]_q}{qz^2} + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n-1]_q [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}}{\left( \frac{-1}{qz^2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1} \right) + \frac{[2]_q}{qz^2} + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n-1]_q [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\
 & = \left| \frac{-(2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1) + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1 + q[n-1]_q) a_n z^{n+1} + [2]_q}{([2]_q - [1]_q) + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (1 + q[n-1]_q) a_n z^{n+1}} \right| \\
 & < \frac{|2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1| + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1 + q[n-1]_q| |a_n| + [2]_q}{([2]_q - 1) - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (1 + q[n-1]_q) |a_n|} < 1. \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q \left( (1 + q[n-1]_q) + |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1 + q[n-1]_q| |a_n| \right) \leq -(1 + |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1|)$ ,

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ , then

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1 + q[n-1]_q| |a_n| \leq -1 - |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1| - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (1 + q[n-1]_q) |a_n|.$$

Using this inequality in (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{2\beta + e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)}{e^{i\lambda} H_q(z)} \right| < \frac{|2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1| + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1 + q[n-1]_q| |a_n| + [2]_q}{([2]_q - 1) - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (1 + q[n-1]_q) |a_n|} \\
 & \leq \frac{|2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1| - 1 - |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1| - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (1 + q[n-1]_q) |a_n| + [2]_q}{([2]_q - 1) - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (1 + q[n-1]_q) |a_n|} \\
 & = \frac{([2]_q - 1) - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (1 + q[n-1]_q) |a_n|}{([2]_q - 1) - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (1 + q[n-1]_q) |a_n|} = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta, q)$  for some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < q < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ .

As  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get the following result proved by Alamoush <sup>[1]</sup>.

**Corollary 2.4** *If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n + |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + n||a_n|) \leq -(1 + |2\beta e^{-i\lambda} + 1|), \tag{18}$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , and  $0 < \beta < \cos\lambda$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 2.6** *If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies*

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ |1 + [n]_q e^{i\lambda}| + |A + [n]_q B e^{i\lambda}| \} |a_n| \leq |qA - B e^{i\lambda}| - |q - e^{i\lambda}|, \tag{19}$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $0 < q < 1$ , then

$$f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, A, B, q).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{1 + e^{i\lambda} \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)}}{A + B e^{i\lambda} \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)}} \right| < 1,$$

That is

$$\left| \frac{f(z) + e^{i\lambda} z \partial_q f(z)}{A f(z) + B e^{i\lambda} z \partial_q f(z)} \right| < 1.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z) + e^{i\lambda} z \partial_q f(z)}{A f(z) + B e^{i\lambda} z \partial_q f(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} + e^{i\lambda} \left( \frac{-1}{qz} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1} \right)}{A \left( \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \right) + B e^{i\lambda} \left( \frac{-1}{qz} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1} \right)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{q + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} - e^{i\lambda} + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}}{qA + qA \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} - B e^{i\lambda} + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B e^{i\lambda} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|q - e^{i\lambda}| + q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |1 + [n]_q e^{i\lambda}| |a_n|}{|qA - B e^{i\lambda}| - q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |A + [n]_q B e^{i\lambda}| |a_n|}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Hence (17) is bounded by 1, if

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |1 + [n]_q e^{i\lambda}| + |A + [n]_q B e^{i\lambda}| |a_n| \leq |qA - B e^{i\lambda}| - |q - e^{i\lambda}|.$$

As  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get the following result proved by Alamoush <sup>[1]</sup>.

**Corollary 2.5** *If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ |1 + n e^{i\lambda}| + |A + n B e^{i\lambda}| \} |a_n| \leq |A - B e^{i\lambda}| - |1 - e^{i\lambda}|, \tag{21}$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and, then

$$f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{S}(\lambda, A, B).$$

**Theorem 2.7** *If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies*

$$q \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q \{ |2 + q[n-1]_q e^{i\lambda}| + |A + 1 + q[n-1]_q B e^{i\lambda}| \} |a_n| \leq |qA - B e^{i\lambda}| - |q - e^{i\lambda}| \tag{22}$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then

$$f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, A, B, q).$$

As  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get the following result proved by Alamoush <sup>[1]</sup>.

**Corollary 2.6** *If  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \{ |1 + n e^{i\lambda}| + |A + n B e^{i\lambda}| \} |a_n| \leq |A - B e^{i\lambda}| - |1 - e^{i\lambda}|, \tag{23}$$

For some  $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and, then  
 $f(z) \in \Sigma\mathcal{C}(\lambda, A, B)$ .

### References

1. Alamoush AG, Darus M. Some Results for Certain Classes of Spirallike Meromorphic Functions. South. Asian Bull. Math. 2014; 20:1-9.
2. Al-Refai O, Darus M. General univalence criterion associated with the nth derivative, Abstract and Appl. Analy, Article ID 307526 9 pages, 2012.
3. Darus M, Faisal I. A study on Beckers univalence criteria, Abstract and Appl. Analy., (2011), Article ID759175, 13 pages.
4. B.A. Frasin and M. Darus, on certain meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, south. Asian Bull. Math. 2004; 28:615-623.
5. Frasin BA, Darus M. Meromorphic univalent functions with positive and fixed second coefficients, south. Asian Bull. Math. 2006; 30:827-834.
6. Hamai K, Hayami T, Kuroki K, Owa S. Coefficient estimates of functions in the class concerning with spirallike functions, Appl. Math. 2011; 11:189-196.
7. Jackson FH. On  $q$ -functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh. 1909; 46:253-281.
8. Janteng A, Halim SA, Darus M. A new subclass of harmonic univalent functions, south. Asian Bull. Math. 2007; 31:81-88.
9. Latha S, Shivarudrappa L. Partial Sums of Some Meromorphic Functions, Jour. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math, 2006; 7(4). Article 140.
10. Mogra ML, Reddy TR, Juneja OP. Meromorphic univalent functions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 32 (1985), 161-176.
11. L. Shi, Z.G. Wang, and J.P. Yi, A New Class of Meromorphic Functions Associated with Spirallike Functions, Jour. Appl. Math, 2012. Article ID 494917.
12. Sun Y, Kuang WP, Wang ZG. On meromorphic starlike functions of reciprocal order  $\alpha$ , Bull. Malay. Math. Sci. Soc. 2012; 35(2):469-477.